HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

February 1997

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT)

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

February 1997

CONTENTS

Section 1	Executive Summary	1-1
Section 2	Facility Description	2-1
Section 3	Compliance Status	3-1
Section 4	Remedial Actions	4-1
Section 5	Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries	5-1
Section 6	Annual Operating Expenditures	6-1

List of Tables

Table

- 1 Summary Program Information for Marion Forks Hatchery Winter Steelhead
- 2 Compliance with Performance Measures: Marion Forks Hatchery Winter Steelhead
- Remedial Actions Required at Marion Forks Hatchery Winter Steelhead
- 4 Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Marion Forks
 Hatchery Winter Steelhead
- 5 Annual Operating Expenses: Marion Forks Hatchery Winter Steelhead

6 Annual Operating Expenses - Marion Forks Hatchery

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program. The hatchery is located along Marion and Horn creeks (Santiam River tributaries in the Willamette Basin) about 17 miles east of Detroit, Oregon. Minto pond is operated as a satellite facility. The hatchery is used for adult collection, egg incubation, and rearing of spring chinook and winter steelhead.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) ÒStrategy for SalmonÓ and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility managementÕs response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Results

The Marion Forks facility includes one pond for adult holding, 8 concrete raceways, 48 circular rearing ponds, 12 Canadian troughs, and incubation facilities. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) funds the majority of operation costs as mitigation for the development of Detroit and Big Cliff dams.

The Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery needed to develop green-egg to eyed-egg, eyed-egg to fry, and smolt-to-adult survival goals for the IHOT Operations Plan. The hatchery was not meeting its adult return goal, production goal, fry-to-smolt survival goal, and needed to develop a hatchery M&E plan. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the water quality monitoring requirements, incubation and rearing temperature criteria, pathology-free water criteria, alarm requirements, and feed preparation protocols, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery needed to develop a smoltification goal, smoltification monitoring program, and specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan. The hatchery was not meeting its size at release goal and needed to review the criteria and/or program. The hatchery was not meeting all the disinfection protocols for transportation equipment. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program.

The specific areas in which the Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Conduct fishery contribution study
- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation

- Develop alarm log
- Develop an approved genetics M&E plan
- Develop disease-free water supply for incubation and early rearing
- Develop green-egg to eyed-egg, eyed-egg to fry, and smolt-to-adult survival goals for
 - **IHOT Operational Plan**
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Develop written M&E plan
- Document adult contribution
- Document smolt-to-adult survival
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transportation equipment and personnel
 - before and after use
- Follow IHOT requirements for disinfection of interiors and exteriors of transport
 - vehicles
- Improve adult fish barrier
- Improve adult returns
- Improve fry-to-smolt survival
- Install alarms at intake, rearing ponds, and headboxes
- Install foot baths in the incubation facilities
- Install security alarms
- Install telephone pagers
- Measure temperature of moist pellets when delivered
- Need additional acclimation ponds for fish trucked and released below Detroit Dam.
- Remove moist pellets from freezer just prior to feeding
- Review IHOT temperature criteria for incubation and rearing
- Review size criteria and/or program to try to meet size goal
- Run analysis for water quality parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite and contaminants

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: Marion Forks Fish Hatchery

Stock/Species: Spring Chinook (North Fork Santiam River Stock)

Spring Chinook (Clackamas River Stock)

Winter Steelhead

Cutthroat Trout

Operating Agency: Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife

Funding Agency: COE

ODFW

Location: The hatchery is located along Marion and Horn creeks (Santiam River

tributaries in the Willamette Basin) about 17 miles east of Detroit,

Oregon. Minto pond is operated as a satellite facility.

Address: Star Route, Box 71

Idanha, OR 97350

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Terry Jones

Phone: (541) 854-3522

Fax: (541) 854-3503

Purpose: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) funds the majority of

operation costs as mitigation for the development of Detroit and Big

Cliff dams. The COE mitigation agreement requires the annual

production of no more than 84,000 pounds of juvenile chinook and steelhead to mitigate for hydroelectric development in the North Santiam River.

Production Goal:

Spring Chinook (N. Fork Santiam River stock)

Produce 100,000 fry (500 lb) for release into Detroit Reservoir

Produce 667,000 smolts (60,636 lb) for release in the North Santiam River

Spring Chinook (Clackamas River Stock)

Rear 580,000 fingerlings for transfer to South Santiam Hatchery

Rear 365,000 smolts (18,250 lb) for transfer back to Clackamas Hatchery

Winter Steelhead

Produce 100,000 smolts (20,000 lb) for release into the North Santiam River

Provide 25,500 eggs to OregonÕs Salmon and Trout Enhancement

Program

Cutthroat Trout

Water Supply:

Rear 68,000 fingerlings (454 lb) for transfer to Fall River Hatchery There are two water rights: 15,257 gpm from Marion Creek and 14,368

gpm from Horn Creek. Water is supplied from Marion Creek from

April through September, and from Horn Creek from October through

March.

Facilities:

Adult Holding: None; see Minto Ponds under satellite facilities

Incubation: 18 full stacks of vertical tray incubators (288 trays)

Early Rearing: 12 fiberglass Canadian troughs - 98 cf each

Raceways: 8 concrete raceways - 4,000 cf each

Rearing Ponds: 48 circular concrete ponds - 980 cf each

Satellite Facilities: Minto Pond

1 concrete adult holding and acclimation pond - 31,488 cf

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin*Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (referred to as IHOT 1995 in this report).

The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1 Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure

Information (PMs General 1-2)

Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit.

This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Marion Forks Hatchery was conducted on February 6, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.

- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (4) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- Yes (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

 Table 1 Summary Program Information for Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

Component		Location of	of Adult Holding, Sp	awning, Incubation,	and Rearing	
	Minto Pond	Marion Forks				
		Hatchery				
Adult Collection	4					
Adult Holding	4					
Spawning	4					
Fertilization	4					
Incubation						
green-to-eyed		4				
eyed-to-hatch		4				
Rearing						
fry		4				
fingerlings		4				
smolts		4				
Acclimation/release	4					

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#1	Are the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin management plan?		4			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and Santiam and Calapooga Subbasin Plan	
#2	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery operational plan?		4			IHOT Operations Plan and Marion Forks Hatchery Operation and Maintenance Plan	
	Is it understood by staff?		4				
	Is it being followed?		4				
#3	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place? Do you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?				4	No CWT program	Develop written M&E plan
#4a	Adult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery				4	Review of records	Document adult contribution
#4b	Adult pre-spawning survival as compared with established goal		4			Review of records; in compliance 5 out of last 5 years	
#4c	Egg-take as compared with established hatchery goal				4	Review of records; in compliance 2 out of last 5 years	Improve adult returns Improve fish barrier

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#4d	Green-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with			4		No goal	Develop green-egg to eyed-egg survival
	established goal						goal for IHOT Operations Plan
#4e	Eyed-egg to fry survival as compared with established			4		No goal	Develop eyed-egg to fry survival goal for
	goal						IHOT Operations Plan
#4f	Fry to smolt survival as compared with established				4	Review of records; in compliance 1 out	Improve fry-to-smolt survival
	goal					of last 3 years	
#4g	Production as compared with established goal				4	Review of records; in compliance 2 out	Improve adult returns
						of last 3 years	
#4h	Percent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with			4		No goal or data	Develop smolt-to-adult survival goal for
	established goal						IHOT Operations Plan and document
							smolt-to-adult survival
#4i	Number of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults	4				Review of records/Discussion	
	to meet basinwide needs						

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Complia	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#5a	Temperature						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	spawning?						
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for				4	Review of records/Discussion. Can reach	Review IHOT temperature criteria for
	incubation?					60°F in June or July.	incubation or modify temperature
						·	, -
	Does your water temperature meet the criteria for				4	Review of records/Discussion. 36°F in	Review IHOT temperature criteria for
	rearing?					winter; 60°F in summer.	rearing
#5b	Dissolved gases						
	Is the oxygen level near saturation?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Is the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#5c	Chemistry						
	, , , ,						
	Ammonia (un-ionized)			4		No recent data	Run analysis
	Carbon Dioxide			4		See above	See above
	Chlorine			4		See above	See above
	pH			4		See above	See above
	Copper			4		See above	See above
	Hydrogen Sulfide			4		See above	See above
	Iron			4		See above	See above
	Zinc			4		See above	See above

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

			N/A	Yes	?	No		
7	#5d	Turbidity						
		Does your turbidity meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Complian	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					<u> </u>	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No	 	
#5e	Alkalinity and hardness						
	Does your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			4		No data	Run analysis
#5f	Nitrite						
	Does your nitrite meet the criteria?			4		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis
#5g	Contaminants						
						N. 1.	D
	Aldrin			4		No data	Run analysis
	Endrin			4		See above	See above
	Dieldrin			4		See above	See above
	Heptachlor			4		See above	See above
	Chlordane			4		See above	See above
	Methoxychlor			4		See above	See above
	Lindane			4		See above	See above
	Malathion			4		See above	See above
	Guthion			4		See above	See above
#5h	Pathogens						
	What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?						
	·						
	Adult holding				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
	Incubation				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Develop disease-free water supply for
							incubation and early rearing

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Early rearing				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above
Rearing				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None
Egg hardening		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#6	Alarm Systems			-			
	Do the following areas have alarms?						
	Intake				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install alarms at intake, rearing ponds,
							and headboxes
	Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above
	Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above
	Incubation facilities		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Quarantine areas and facilities	4				No quarantine areas and facilities	
	Water treatment systems	4				No water treatment systems	
	Security				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install security alarms
	Are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite		4			Discussion	
	residences?						
	Are water flow alarms checked daily?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Are all other alarms checked weekly?		4			Discussion	
	Is there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and				4	Review of records/Discussion	Develop alarm log
	maintenance requirements?						
	Are telephone pagers used?				4	Discussion	Install telephone pages

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#7	Adult collection and holding facilities						
	Do you meet the adult holding criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for	
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#8	Incubation facilities	N/A	ies	•	No		
	Type 1: Vertical tray Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 2: Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?	4					
#9	Rearing facilities Type 1: Raceways Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 2: <u>Circular troughs</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Type 3: <u>Troughs</u> Do you have an adequate number of units for the overall program?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#10	Screening facilities					
	Do you meet the approach velocity criteria?	ı	4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
	Are the fish screens regularly cleaned?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
	Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
	Are rearing containers double screened for fish that	4				Released in adjacent river.
	should not be released to adjacent water?					
#11	Predator control facilities					
	Are your predation control facilities effective?	-	4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#12	Food storage facilities and quality control	IVA	165	•	140		
	Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	(dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture)						
	follow food manufacturerÕs recommendations?						
	Does a regional quality control officer oversee						
	production procedures and monitor:						
	Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients				4	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed
	meet specifications?						preparation
	Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or				4	Discussion	See above
	other additives?						
	Analyze ingredients contained in the final food				4	Discussion	See above
	product to ensure that feed specifications have been						
	met?						
	Are the foods stored and handled according to the						
	following criteria?						

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No]	
Moist pellets should not exceed 10°F at point of			4		Do not measure	Measure temperature of moist pellets
delivery.						when delivered
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.				4	Remove one day prior for thawing	Remove moist pellets from freezer just prior to feeding
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		4			Discussion	
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		4			Discussion	
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).	4				No automatic feeders or bulk storage	

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#13	Release facilities						
	Do the release facilities ensure that fish are not				4	Fish loaded on transport trucks for release	Need additional acclimation ponds for
	subjected to adverse conditions?					below Detroit Dam. About half are	fish trucked and released below Detroit
						acclimated at Minto Pond.	Dam.
#14	Pollution abatement facilities						
	Do the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?						
	Are pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		4			Discussion	
#15	Transportation facilities						
	Are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	performance measures for transportation practices?						

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status		ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#16	Broodstock selection practices	IVA	Tes	•	110		
	Is the donor selection process document attached? (PM #40a)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	4				Existing program; does not apply	
#17	Spawning practices Were the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#18	Incubation practices						
	Are specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery operations plan?		4			Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan and Marion Forks Hatchery O&M Plan	Develop specific incubation standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
	Are incubation practices written?		4			See above	
	Incubation Type 1: <u>Vertical tray</u> (see PM #8) Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Incubation Type 2: (see PM #8)	4				Review of records/Discussion	
Do you meet the loading and flow criteria?						

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			ı	1	1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#19	Rearing practices						
	Are specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery		4			Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan and	Develop specific rearing standards for the
	operations plan?					Marion Forks Hatchery O&M Plan	IHOT Operations Plan
	Are rearing practices written?		4			See above	
	Rearing Unit Type 1: <u>Raceways</u> (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Rearing Unit Type 2: <u>Circular ponds</u>						
	(see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Rearing Unit Type 3: <u>Troughs</u> (see PM #9)						
	Do you meet the density and DI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#20	Smolt quality						
	Do you produce a high quality smolt?		4			Discussion	

Table 2	Marion Forks Hatchery -	Winter Steelhead	Compliance With Performance Measures

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
					T	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#21	Fish health management practices						
	Are the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	conducted? (PM #26)						
	Are the annual broodstock inspections being		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	conducted? (PM #27)						
	Conducted: (I WI #27)						
	Is there pathogen-free water (PM #5h)and are the				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5h and PM #28
					4	Review of records/Discussion	Sec 1 W1 #311 and 1 W1 #26
	sanitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)						
	Are the following water quality parameters within						
	criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Chemistry			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
	Are rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
(PM #31)						

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#22a	Does hatchery performance meet requirements	IVA	165	•	110		
	outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in						
	subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
#22a1	Percent smoltification						
	Do you measure percent smoltification?				4	Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor
	Do you have a smoltification goal				4	Discussion	See above
	Did you meet the smoltification criteria?			4		Discussion	See above
#22a2	Rearing density (prior to release)						
	Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	release?						
#22a3	Disease condition (at release)						
	Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to release?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a4	Number (at release)						
	Did you meet the release number goal?				4	Review of records/Discussion	Improve adult returns
#22a5	Size at release						
	Did you meet the size goal?				4	Cold water slows growth	Review size criteria and/or program to try to meet size goal

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#22a6	Dates of release						
	Did you meet the release date goal?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22a7	Location of release						
	Did you release the fish at the specified location?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#22b	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the						
	subbasin?						
	Are the fish reared in the subbasin?	J	4			Discussion	
	Are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?		4			Discussion	
#22c	Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	
		ı	I	ı	1		

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statı	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	IN/A	168	•	NO		
	Do transportation equipment and personnel receive disinfection before and after use?				4	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transportation equipment and
	Is the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of		4			Discussion	personnel before and after use.
	200 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or						
	formaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity						
	of 60% for 2 hrs)?						
	Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?				4	Discussion	Follow IHOT requirements for disinfection of interiors and exteriors of transport vehicles
	Is the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% stock solution/liter water)?				4	Discussion	See above
	Is other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, nets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and other equipment using one of the following solutions?		4			Discussion	

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes						
600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30						
minutes						
200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes		4			Discussion	
Do personnel wear protective garments when handling		4			Discussion	
fish eggs or cultural water?						
rish eggs of cultural water?						
Do the fish to a section at the section and to all fruit as a size		4			Diamarian	
Do the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive		4			Discussion	
an inspection and service prior to the release season?						
Is a daily service inspection completed before starting		4			Discussion	
up and leaving for the day?						
· · ·						

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#23	Transportation facilities	N/A	Yes	•	No		
	Transportation lacinities						
(cont)	Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior		4			Discussion	
			_			2.50 45510.1	
	to loading?						
	Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water		4			Discussion	
	level, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system		·				
	settings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling						
	density tables checked and reviewed occur prior to						
	loading fish in the transport unit?						
	Do hauling criteria include checking the fish 45		4			Discussion	
	minutes to 1 hour after loading?						
	When fish are active and systems are functioning		4			Discussion	
	properly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and						
	maintained at approximately 8 ppm?						
	Is water temperature in the transportation unit		4			Discussion	
	maintained within the 42-48 °F range?						

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Do fish releasing procedures include the following						
criteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		4			Discussion	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		4			Discussion	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		4			Discussion	

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#24	Evaluation practices	IVA	103	•	140		
	Has the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies to:						
	Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?				4	No CWT program	Conduct fishery contribution study
	Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?				4		
	Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?				4		
	Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?				4		
	Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?				4		

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
			1		1	Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#25	Training practices						
	Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	staff?						
	Does each staff member have a personal training		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed						
	annually?						
	Does the hatchery routinely exchange training		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	details between other hatcheries and agencies?						
	Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	training of staff?						
	Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		4			Review of records/Discussion	
			'				

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliance Status			Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#26	Are monthly hatchery monitoring visits being	IV/A	165	•	110		
	conducted by a qualified fish health specialist as						
	described below?						
	Conduct visit at least monthly		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Monitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Examine a representative sample of healthy and moribund fish from each lot.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Review fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Report finding and results of necropsies on standard form.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Recommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Summarize fish health status or stock prior to release or transfer to another facility.		4			Review of records/Discussion	
#27	Are all of the functions of the hatchery yearly monitoring visits being completed as described below?						

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Annually examine each broodstock for the presence of		4			Review of records/Discussion
reportable viral pathogens.					
Annually screen each salmon broodstock for the presence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		4			Review of records/Discussion
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of qualified fish health specialist.		4			Review of records/Discussion

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
				_	T	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#28	Is the hatchery following accepted sanitation	N/A	Yes	?	No		
20	procedures?						
	Are there any sources of pathogen-free water,				4	Discussion	Provide pathogen-free water for
	especially for incubation and early rearing?						incubation and early rearing
	Are the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and						
	being followed as described below?						
	Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the				4	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install foot baths in the incubation
	incubation facilityÕs entrance and exit?						facilities
	Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use						
	elsewhere in the hatchery?						
	Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
	its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?						

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with					
any other fish lots or at any other location?					
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		4			Inspection of facilities/Discussion

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		27/4	.,			Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#29	Are water quality parameters being followed?						
	Are the following water quality parameters within	ľ					
	criteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
	Water temperature				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
	Dissolved gases		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Chemistry			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c
	Turbidity			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d
	Alkalinity and hardness			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5e
	Nitrite			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
	Contaminants			4		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
	Go to PM #21						
#30	Are incubation and rearing standards being followed?						
	Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Go to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
#31	Are egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		4			Discussion	

Table 2	Marion Forks Hatchery -	Winter Steelhead	Compliance With Performance Measures

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#32	Is the hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin		4			Columbia Basin System Planning	
	management plan?					Production Plan and Santiam and	
						Calopooga Subbasin Plan	
	Go to subbasin plan PM #1						
#33	Is the hatchery operating under a current hatchery		4			Review IHOT Operations Plan and	
	operational plan?					Marion Forks Hatchery O&M Plan	
	Go to operational plan PM #2						
#34	Is a hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?				4		
							Develop written hatchery M&E plan
	Go to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3						

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#35	Does the hatchery program meet requirements established in the regional hatchery policies and subbasin planning documents in the following areas: species, stock, broodstock collection location, broodstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy,	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	and spawning and egg-take protocols?						
	Does the hatchery program meet the requirements for the following?						
	Species protocols (PM #1)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Stock protocols (PM #1)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

	N/A	Yes	?	No		
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#36	Does the hatchery's performance meet requirements outlined in the regional hatchery policies and in subbasin and hatchery plans for the following areas: percent smoltification, rearing density, disease condition, and the number, size date(s), and location of release?		165	·	710		
	Percent smoltification (PM #22a1)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1
	Rearing density (PM #22a2) Disease condition (PM #22a3)		4			Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	
	Number at release (PM #22a4)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a4
	Size at release (PM #22a5)				4	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a5
	Date of release (PM #22a6)		4			Review of records/Discussion	
	Location of release (PM #22a7)		4			Review of records/Discussion	

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#37	Are fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the		4			Discussion	
	subbasin? See PM #22b						
#38	Is the release strategy appropriate for the program?		4			Discussion	
	See PM #22c						

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		27/4	*7			Non-Compliance	Compliance
#39	For new programs, has a broodstock collection plan	N/A	Yes	?	No		
	been developed?						
#39a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a non-captive broodstock program:	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
#39c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
	For a captive broodstock program:						
#39d	Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39e	Were full-sib crosses avoided?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	
#39f	Is the broodstock collection plan understood and being followed by staff?	4				Existing Program; does not apply	

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

		N/A	Yes	?	No	
#40	For a new program, was the donor selection outline					
	followed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?					
#40a	Is a donor selection plan written?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
#40b	Was the donor selection outline followed in selecting the broodstock?	4				Existing Program; does not apply
#40c	Was the target stock recommended in the donor selection process actually used?	4				Existing Program; does not apply

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			IS	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
						Non-Compliance	Compliance
		N/A	Yes	?	No		
#41	For existing programs, were the broodstock collection						
	procedures followed?						
#41a	Is the broodstock collection plan written?		4			Review broodstock collection plan	
	Does the broodstock collection plan follow the guideline:						
#41b	Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?			4		Discussion. Barrier is ineffective for trapping all fish.	See PM#4c
#41c	Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?			4		See above	See PM#4c
#41d	Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?		4			Discussion	

Table 2 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead Compliance With Performance Measures

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
	N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female						
ratios, and fertilization protocols used?						
Are the spawning protocols written?		4			Review of protocols	
Are daily or weekly spawning logs available?		4			Review of records	
Was the appropriate number of spawners used?		4			True 5x5 matrix spawn	
Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and		4			Discussion	
randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits?						
Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards?		4			Discussion	
Were the fertilization protocols followed?		4			Discussion	
If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of each male/female cross?		4			Discussion	
	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? Are the spawning protocols written? Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	N/A Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? Are the spawning protocols written? Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? Are the spawning protocols written? Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? Are the spawning protocols written? Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? 4 If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? Are the spawning protocols written? Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of	Was the appropriate number of spawners, male/female ratios, and fertilization protocols used? Are the spawning protocols written? Are daily or weekly spawning logs available? Was the appropriate number of spawners used? Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and randomize mating with respect to age class, and other traits? Was the sex-ratio within the limits given in the performance standards? Were the fertilization protocols followed? If the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs retained, was this done by representative sampling of

 Table 2
 Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead
 Compliance With Performance Measures

PM #	Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	1S	Basis for Compliance or	Remedial Action Needed for
		N/A	Yes	?	No	Non-Compliance	Compliance
#43	Is there a genetics monitoring and evaluation program	N/A	168	•	NO		
	in place?						
	Is a genetics monitoring and evaluation program available?				4	None provided	Develop an approved genetics M&E plan
	Does the plan address the following elements listed in IHOT:						
	Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				4	Discussion	
	Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				4	Discussion	
	Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				4	Discussion	
	Is the program understood and followed by staff?				4	Discussion	

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly
	definable at this time

Remedial Actions at Marion Forks Hatchery -

Winter Steelhead

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action.

Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Marion Forks Hatchery -

Winter Steelhead

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human		
control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Improve adult returns		4c, 4g,
		22a4
Install security alarms		22a4 6
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or	: #	
procedures		
Develop written M&E plan		3
Document adult contribution Develop green-egg to eyed-egg, eyed-egg to fry, and smolt-to-adult		4a 4d, 4e, 4h
		4u, 4e, 411
survival goals for IHOT Operational Plan	 	
Document smolt-to-adult survival	 :	4h
Review IHOT temperature criteria for incubation and rearing		5a
Develop alarm log		6
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation		12
Measure temperature of moist pellets when delivered	 	12
Remove moist pellets from freezer just prior to feeding	 !!	12
Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT		18-19
Operations Plan		
Develop smoltification goal and monitor		22a1
Review size criteria and/or program to try to meet size goal	= =	22a5
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of transportation equipment and		23
personnel before and after use		
Follow IHOT requirements for disinfection of interiors and exteriors of		23
transport vehicles		
Conduct fishery contribution study		24

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs²
Type 2 (Continued) - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency		
policies or procedures		
Install foot baths in the incubation facilities		28
Develop an approved genetics M&E plan		43
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage		
or interval		
Run analysis for water quality parameters, turbidity, alkalinity,		5c-5g
hardness, nitrite and contaminants		
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Improve adult fish barrier	\$100,000	4c
Install alarms at intake, rearing ponds, and headboxes	\$30,000	6
Install telephone pagers	\$5,000	6
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital		
expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Improve fry-to-smolt survival		4f
Develop disease-free water supply for incubation and early rearing		5h, 28
Need additional acclimation ponds for fish trucked and released below		13, 22b
Detroit Dam.		

Hatchery Contribution to

Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:

Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

Year	Fisheries	Spawning Grounds ¹	Hatchery ¹	Total Combined Contribution	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	
1983					
1984					

Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

1985					
1986					
1987	No information				
	provided	provided	provided	provided	provided
1988	No information				
	provided	provided	provided	provided	provided
1989	No information				
	provided	provided	provided	provided	provided
1990	No information				
	provided	provided	provided	provided	provided
1991					
1992					

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

Hatchery	1994	1995	1996
Winter Steelhead	\$55,335	\$9,705	\$79,649
2.			
3.			
4.			
Total Program Costs	\$55,335	\$9,705	\$79,649

The total expenditures for the Marion Forks Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Tables 6a, 6b, and 6c).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Marion Forks Hatchery

Program	1994	1995	1996
1. Spring Chinook (N.F. Santiam	\$387,351	\$431,425	\$355,594
River Stock)			
2. Spring Chinook (Clackamas	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
River Stock)			
3. Winter Steelhead	\$55,335	\$9,705	\$79,649
4.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$442,686	\$441,130	\$435,243

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Marion Forks Hatchery - Winter Steelhead

Expenditure Occurring at Marion Forks Hatchery

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$196,088	\$200,303	\$191,936
Operational Costs	\$134,888	\$173,728	\$159,662
Capital Costs	\$49,451	\$3,000	\$17,000
Indirect Costs	\$62,259	\$64,099	\$66,645
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$442,686	\$441,130	\$435,243
Source of Funds			
COE	83.75%	83.75%	83.75%
ODFW	16.25%	16.25%	16.25%
Program Production (#)	125,499	17,118	138,801
Total Production (#)	1,010,319	756,000	820,000
Program as Percent of Total	12.5%	2.2%	18.3%
Program Costs	\$55,335	\$9,705	\$79,649

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Marion Forks Hatchery by Program

Spring Chinook (North Fork Santiam River Stock)

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$196,088	\$200,303	\$191,936
Operational Costs	\$134,888	\$173,728	\$159,662
Capital Costs	\$49,451	\$3,000	\$17,000
Indirect Costs	\$62,259	\$64,099	\$66,645
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$442,686	\$441,130	\$435,243
Source of Funds			
COE	83.75%	83.75%	83.75%
ODFW	16.25%	16.25%	16.25%
Program Production (#)	884,820	739,757	670,000
Total Production (#)	1,010,319	756,000	820,000
Program as Percent of Total	87.5%	97.8%	81.7%
Program Costs	\$387,351	\$431,425	\$355,594

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Marion Forks Hatchery by Program

Spring Chinook (Clackamas River Stock) (a)

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$196,088	\$200,303	\$191,936
Operational Costs	\$134,888	\$173,728	\$159,662
Capital Costs	\$49,451	\$3,000	\$17,000
Indirect Costs	\$62,259	\$64,099	\$66,645
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$442,686	\$441,130	\$435,243
Source of Funds			
COE	83.75%	83.75%	83.75%
ODFW	16.25%	16.25%	16.25%
Program Production (#)			
Total Production (#)			
Program as Percent of Total	0%	0%	0%
Program Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

(a) Cost for this program not charged to Marion Forks.

Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at Marion Forks Hatchery by Program

Winter Steelhead

Component	1994	1995	1996
Personnel Costs	\$196,088	\$200,303	\$191,936
Operational Costs	\$134,888	\$173,728	\$159,662
Capital Costs	\$49,451	\$3,000	\$17,000
Indirect Costs	\$62,259	\$64,099	\$66,645
Lumped Hatchery Costs			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$442,686	\$441,130	\$435,243
Source of Funds			
COE	83.75%	83.75%	83.75%
ODFW	16.25%	16.25%	16.25%
Program Production (#)	125,499	17,118	138,801
Total Production (#)	1,010,319	756,000	820,000
Program as Percent of Total	12.5%	2.2%	18.3%
Program Costs	\$55,335	\$9,705	\$79,649

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT

performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.